


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

TERRIC LETANG,

Plaintiff,

- against -

OFFICER ROSA SOTO, OFFICER EUGENIA WILLIAMS, SERGEANT PHILIP TERPOS, and OFFICER JOHN SHEA,

Defendants.

---

**VERDICT SHEET**

13 Civ. 8144 (SAS)

**May 21, 2012 Incident**

1. Did Terric LeTang prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he was falsely arrested by Officer Soto and/or Officer Williams?

   Officer Soto: YES _____ NO ✓
   Officer Williams: YES _____ NO ✓

**(If you answered YES to question 1 for either or both of the defendants, proceed to questions 2-4. If you answered NO to question 1 for both of the defendants, proceed to question 5.)**

2. State the dollar amount of damages plaintiff sustained for loss of liberty:

   Officer Soto (if applicable): $_____
   Officer Williams (if applicable): $_____

3. Did Terric LeTang prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered actual compensatory damages (for physical, emotional, or psychic injury) as a result of being falsely arrested by Officer Soto

and/or Officer Williams?

Officer Soto (if applicable): YES ____ NO ____
Officer Williams (if applicable): YES ____ NO ____

4. State the dollar amount of any actual compensatory damages sustained by plaintiff (for physical, emotional, or psychic injury) that were caused by the conduct of any defendant for whom you answered YES for question 3:

Officer Soto (if applicable): $_____
Officer Williams (if applicable): $_____

5. If you answered NO to Question 1 for either defendant, please check each crime listed below for which you found a defendant had probable cause to arrest Mr. LeTang on May 21, 2012. The crimes are defined at pages 18-20 of the jury charge. (If you answered yes to question 1 for both defendants, do not answer question 5 and proceed to question 6.)

Disorderly Conduct

NY Penal Law § 240.20(1): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(2): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(3): ✓
NY Penal Law § 240.20(4): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(5): ✓
NY Penal Law § 240.20(6): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(7): ____

Harassment in the Second Degree

NY Penal Law § 240.26: ✓ (3)

Obstructing Governmental Administration in the Second Degree

NY Penal Law § 195.05: ____

**October 19, 2012 Incident**

6. Did Terric LeTang prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he was falsely arrested by Sergeant Terpos and/or Officer Shea?

Sergeant Terpos: YES _____ NO ✓
Officer Shea: YES _____ NO ✓

**(If you answered YES to question 6 for either or both of the defendants, proceed to questions 7-9. If you answered NO to question 6 for both of the defendants, proceed to question 10.)**

7. State the dollar amount of damages plaintiff sustained for loss of liberty:

Sergeant Terpos (if applicable): $_____
Officer Shea (if applicable): $_____

8. Did Terric LeTang prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered actual compensatory damages (for physical, emotional, or psychic injury) as a result of being falsely arrested by Sergeant Terpos and/or Officer Shea?

Sergeant Terpos (if applicable): YES _____ NO _____
Officer Shea (if applicable): YES _____ NO _____

9. State the dollar amount of any actual compensatory damages sustained by plaintiff (for physical, emotional, or psychic injury) that were caused by the conduct of any defendant for whom you answered YES for question 8:

Sergeant Terpos (if applicable): $_____
Officer Shea (if applicable): $_____

10. If you answered NO to Question 6 for either defendant, please check each crime listed below for which you found a defendant had probable cause to arrest Mr. LeTang on October 19, 2012. The crimes are defined at pages 18-20 of the jury charge. (If you answered YES to

question 6 for both defendants, do not answer the remaining questions and sign the verdict sheet on the next page.)

Disorderly Conduct

NY Penal Law § 240.20(1): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(2): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(3): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(4): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(5): ____
NY Penal Law § 240.20(6): ✓
NY Penal Law § 240.20(7): ____

Harassment in the Second Degree

NY Penal Law § 240.26: ✓ (3)

Obstructing Governmental Administration in the Second Degree

NY Penal Law § 195.05: ____

11. If you answered NO to question 6 for either defendant, please check each reason below for which you found that defendant not liable.

Sergeant Terpos (if applicable)

Had Probable Cause for Arrest ✓
Was Not Sufficiently Involved in Arrest ____

Officer Shea (if applicable)

Had Probable Cause for Arrest ✓
Was Not Sufficiently Involved in Arrest ____

YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT. THE FOREPERSON SHOULD DATE AND SIGN THIS VERDICT FORM AND ADVISE THE MARSHAL THAT YOU HAVE FINISHED DELIBERATIONS.

**Your foreperson must now sign and date the verdict sheet.**

David Rapport
Signature of foreperson

Date: New York, New York
February 9, 2015

VERDICT SHEET #1

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Mauricio Jaquez was pushing himself up from the floor when Sgt. Flores fired the final shot?

Yes _____ No _____

2. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Mauricio Jaquez had a knife in his hand when Sgt. Flores fired the final shot?

Yes _____ No _____

Please sign and date the form and tell the marshal that the jury has reached a verdict.

Dated: New York, New York
April ____, 2016

_____ _____

FOREPERSON

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____

VERDICT SHEET #2

1. Do you find that plaintiff has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Sgt. Flores subjected Mauricio Jaquez to excessive force on April 12, 2009 when he fired the final shot?

Yes _____ No _____

**If you answered "no," you are done. Do not answer any further questions. Sign this form on the last page and give it to the marshal.**

**Only proceed to Questions 2 and 3 if you answered "yes."**

2. If you answered "yes" to Question 1, what amount of compensatory damages have the plaintiffs proven they suffered as a result of the excessive force? (You must award at least $1 as nominal damages if you answered "yes" to Question 3.)

$ _____

3. If you find that punitive damages are appropriate, enter the amount that the plaintiffs have proven they are entitled to here. (If you find that Mauricio Jaquez was subject to excessive force, but do not find punitive damages appropriate, you may leave it blank or enter $0.)

$ _____

Please sign and date the form and tell the marshal that the jury has reached a verdict.

Dated: New York, New York
April ____, 2016

_____ _____

FOREPERSON

_____ _____

_____ _____

_____

COURT EXHIBIT

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---------- X

**JOSTHEN NOBOA,**

Plaintiff,

-against-

**POLICE OFFICERS ALLAN WARD and JAMAR GODDARD,**

Defendants.

---------- X

**SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES**

15 Civ. 5159 (AMD) (RER)

**Defendant Allan Ward**

1) Did the defendant Allan Ward reasonably believe, even if mistaken, that the plaintiff had committed the crime of criminal possession of marijuana in the fifth degree?

YES ✓ NO _____

2) Did the defendant Allan Ward reasonably believe, even if mistaken, that the plaintiff had committed the crime of criminal trespass?

YES ✓ NO _____

**Defendant Jamar Goddard**

1) Did the defendant Jamar Goddard reasonably believe, even if mistaken, that the plaintiff had committed the crime of criminal possession of marijuana in the fifth degree?

YES ✓ NO _____

2) Did the defendant Jamar Goddard reasonably believe, even if mistaken, that the plaintiff had committed the crime of criminal trespass?

YES ✓ NO _____

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
January 10, 2017

_____
FOREPERSON